

Summary of the Psychology Department's Recent  
Assessment of Scientific Research Methods and Skills

---

**PLO - Scientific Research Methods and Skills:** Our students will be able to recognize, describe, and implement a variety of research methods and skills common to the psychological sciences.

- Assessment: The department was interested in how well its senior students in capstone courses accomplished two tasks related to critical thinking, more broadly defined.

1. First, their abilities to "use disciplinary . . . databases . . . effectively and efficiently to refine research questions in psychology and identify extant answers within the literature . . ." were assessed. In this assessment, 18 students in PSY 111, History and Systems of Psychology, were given a scenario that described a young woman having difficulty controlling her eating behaviors when she was simultaneously trying to control her reactions to an old boyfriend. They were then asked, "Suppose you want to investigate the existence of this phenomenon in other cultures, but you don't want to waste your time replicating other people's research. We would like to know what you would do to research what experimental studies have already been done." They were told they could only search PsycINFO, the primary database indexing psychological, professional publications, in order to determine the availability of information that could be used to answer a research question related to the scenario. They were asked to turn in a list of the searches that they performed and, based on those searches, a list of articles that they thought were most relevant to understanding what effects self control has on the depletion of psychological resources for people in other cultures.

A rubric was developed that allowed assessment of the number and quality of the searches that were performed; the number and quality of the articles that were chosen; and the ability of the students to follow the directions. The levels of achievement selected for the rubric were: No Attempt, Beginning, Developing, Accomplished, and Exemplary. Benchmarks for the 3 assessed dimensions were that 75% of students would perform at or above the Accomplished level (indicated by the red outline in Table 1, below). They were given up to 3% extra credit.

2. The second assessment focused on how well senior psychology majors "demonstrate[ed the] ability to recognize good versus bad experimental designs, theories, and arguments in psychology . . ." In order to assess these abilities, the department reviewed the literature reviews of 7 students who completed PSY 198, Capstone Senior Research in Psychology. The two instructors of the course distributed the 7 literature reviews to department members. A rubric was prepared that allowed department members to assess 1) whether and how well students presented and discussed relevant theories and 2) appropriately linked their literature reviews to their own experimental studies (See Table 2, below). Levels of achievement selected for the rubric were: Absent, Beginning, Developing, Accomplished, and Exemplary. Again, benchmarks were that 75% of students performed at or above the Accomplished level for each dimension. With some discussion, department members achieved a consensus on each literature review for each dimension.

- What We Learned:

1. *Assessment #1:* Table 1 shows the numbers of students who performed at each level of the rubric. And reveals a number of interesting and important findings.
  - a. The majority of students in PSY 111 were willing to try to complete the task, indicating this was a task that senior students generally thought they could successfully complete.
  - b. The assessment was not completely effective, given the topic that was chosen and the time limit that was imposed.
  - c. The majority of students (89%) performed multiple searches, indicating that they persevered (another quality that is rewarding to see) in trying to find articles that satisfied the requirements of the task. For us, this is an important finding because it indicates that students are critically evaluating the results of their searches and continuing to look for articles, rather than stopping with one or two searches.

- d. Of the students who completed the task, (11), 7 (64%) were able to find some articles that pertained to the study of self control in other cultures.
- e. Seniors mostly used reasonable judgment in completing the task according to the instructions provided. After discussing these results, the department agreed that the assessment results were helpful, given the limitations of the assessment instrument, and concluded that the seniors are accomplished in most aspects of this critical thinking assessment.

Table 1

*Rubric for, and Student Performance on, a PsycINFO Search, Evaluated on Three Dimensions and by Level of Development*

	No Attempt 0	Beginning 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4
<b>Number &amp; quality of searches performed</b>	No attempt to perform a relevant search; Or performs one search using ineffective search terms	Performs only one search; some effective search terms are used <b>1</b>	Performs at most 2 searches using some effective search terms <b>1</b>	Performs more than 2 searches, using mostly effective search terms <b>5</b>	Performs multiple searches using the most effective search terms <b>11</b>
<b>Quality &amp; relevance of articles identified &amp; selected</b>	Articles are at best tangentially related to the topic but not to a cross-cultural perspective  <b>No Articles: 7</b>	Articles are relevant to the general topic but not to a cross-cultural perspective  <b>4</b>	Some articles are relevant to a cross-cultural perspective of the topic  <b>3</b>	The majority of articles are directly relevant and some are critical for understanding research on cross-cultural perspectives for this topic  <b>4</b>	The majority of articles are critical for understanding research on cross-cultural perspectives for this topic
<b>Ability to follow instructions</b>	Articles or search list is missing but student claims to have completed the task	Articles or search list is uploaded but student does not complete task by date deadline  <b>8</b>	Articles & search list are uploaded but student does not complete task by date deadline  <b>3</b>	Articles & search list are uploaded; student completes task by date deadline but takes more than 15 min  <b>3</b>	Articles & search list are uploaded; student completes task by date deadline and takes no more than 15 min  <b>4</b>

2. *Assessment #2:* Table 2 shows the results, which were quite disappointing. The department expected that these students would perform more highly on both dimensions of this assessment. Some possible reasons for these results that were discussed included the possibility that this sample was small; that this group of students happened to be atypical in their performance; that perhaps seniors aren't getting the training and experience they need in writing literature reviews and linking them to their experimental studies in PSY 197, the first semester of Capstone Senior Research in Psychology; or that the students are not taking the literature review 7 task seriously, so they procrastinate in PSY 197, during the Fall semester and do not do the research that is required to produce excellent literature reviews.

